


Comment Set C.46: Sarah Y. Jacobson

Sept. 1, 2006



Southern California Edison

I am writing to let you know of my opposition to Option 5 of the SC# 500KV improvement plan. Please place my letter in the public comments of the meeting of August 28, 2006.

C.46-1

My property is located at 9800 Lost Valley Ranch Road, Leona Valley, CA 93551. My mailing address is 40515 Gorham Lane, Palmdale, Ca 93551, and my telephone number is 661-267-0939.

About ten years ago, my husband and I bought this property in hopes of fulfilling our American dream of owning land and living off of it, raising vegetables, chickens, etc. We have spent over \$300,000 on the land, preparing it for our dream home which we are getting ready to build after three years of planning and preparing. If we had known that these horrific looking, noise making, cancer causing towers were going up anywhere near our property, we would have never spent so much effort and money.

C.46-2

We have two young children and cannot expose them to these health risks! We DO NOT want these towers near our property!


The Forestry Dept. needs to take into consideration not only the welfare of the people with respect to their property values declining, but most importantly to the significant health hazards these towers pose. There must be an option that would accommodate the state mandate as well as the welfare of so many people and animals.

C.46-3

My husband and I never received any formal notification of this proposal. If it had not been for our neighbors we would have never known. It would be beneficial if there would be more time for the people to respond.

C.46-4

Sincerely,


Sarah Y. Jacobson

Response to Comment Set C.46: Sarah Y. Jacobson

- C.46-1 Thank you for submitting your opinion on Alternative 5.
- C.46-2 Please see General Response GR-3 regarding EMF concerns. Section C.6 of the EIR/EIS also discusses potential effects from EMF.
- C.46-3 Your comment will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.
- C.46-4 Please see General Response GR-5 regarding the noticing procedures for an EIR/EIS. On September 13, the CPUC and the Forest Service formally extended the public review period for the Draft EIR/EIS to October 3, 2006.